Correlated genetic effects on reproduction define a domestication syndrome in a forest tree

Santos-del-Blanco, L.[1,2,3], Alía, R. [1,2], González-Martínez, S.C.[1,2], Sampedro[4], L., Lario, F. [5], Climent, J. [1,2]

[1] Department of Forest Ecology and Genetics. INIA-CIFOR, Ctra A Coruña km 7.5, E-28040, Madrid, Spain.

[2] Sustainable Forest Management Research Institute, www.sostenible.palencia.uva.es

[3] Department of Ecology and Evolution. University of Lausanne. Biophore Building. CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland.

[4] Misión Biológica de Galicia - CSIC. Carballeira, 8, E-36143, Salcedo, Pontevedra, Galicia, Spain.

[5] Vivero de Maceda. Dirección Técnica. TRAGSA. Ctra. Maceda-Valdrey km 2, E-32700, Maceda, Ourense, Spain.

Corresponding autor: Santos-del-Blanco, L., Department of Ecology and Evolution. Biophore Building. University of Lausanne. CH-1015. Lausanne, Switzerland Phone. +41(0)216924247, e-mail address Luis.SantosdelBlanco@unil.ch

R. Alía: alia@inia.es; S.C. González-Martínez: santiago@inia.es;

L. Sampedro: lusampedro@gmail.com; J. Climent: climent@inia.es

Running head: Domestication syndrome in forest trees

Article type: Original Research article

Summary

Compared to natural selection, domestication implies a dramatic change in traits linked to fitness. A number of traits conferring fitness in the wild might be detrimental under domestication, and domesticated species typically differ from their ancestors in a set of traits known as the domestication syndrome. Specifically, trade-offs between growth and

reproduction are well established across the tree of life. According to allocation theory, selection for growth rate is expected to indirectly alter life-history reproductive traits, diverting resources from reproduction to growth. Here we tested this hypothesis by examining the genetic change and correlated responses of reproductive traits as a result of selection for timber yield in the tree *Pinus pinaster*. Phenotypic selection was carried out in a natural population, and progenies from selected trees were compared with those of control trees in a common garden experiment. According to expectations, we detected a genetic change in important life history traits due to selection. Specifically, threshold sizes for reproduction were much higher and reproductive investment relative to size significantly lower in the selected progenies just after a single artificial selection event. Our study helps to define the domestication syndrome in exploited forest trees and shows that changes affecting developmental pathways are relevant in domestication processes of long-lived plants.

Key Words: fitness traits, genetic change, adaptation, artificial selection, domestication syndrome.

Introduction

Ever since Darwin, biologists have realized the opportunities brought about by domestication for the study of organic changes in all kind of organisms (Darwin, 1868). Under domestication, individuals are diverted from natural selection processes into artificial selection conditions imposed by humans. Such conditions typically imply dramatic changes in the relationship between phenotypes and fitness (Meyer *et al.*, 2012).

Darwin also coined the term "unconscious selection", meaning the lack of intention of the breeder to modify the species. Nowadays, the meaning of the term also refers to correlated responses to selection on non-target traits (Zohary, 2004). Indeed, selected breeds do not only differ from their ancestors in only one target trait, but differences affect many correlated traits, all contributing to increase fitness under selection conditions, creating "domestication syndromes" (Harlan, 1971).

Therefore, during domestications, all traits providing fitness under natural conditions but not under domestication are likely to be selected against, either consciously or not. For instance, plant defense in natural environments is typically selected against during domestication (Meyer *et al.*, 2012; Turcotte *et al.*, 2014). This can be related to life-history evolution and the evolutionary concept of trade-offs, where maximum fitness is limited by negative correlations between traits (Roff, 1992). As a result, depending on the degree of

domestication, domesticated breeds will likely show reduced fitness or inability to survive outside domestication conditions. A similar coordinated response is observed as a result of management of wild populations by fisheries and fish-farming (Hutchings & Fraser, 2008) and perhaps also forest management (Sokol, 2004). For instance, over-exploitation of fisheries has caused strong genetic changes in exploited populations, driving the evolution of slow growing and reproductively precocious individuals (Olsen *et al.*, 2004), revealing fundamental genetic correlations between growth rates and reproductive life-history traits.

Compared to other exploited organisms, studies on the domestication of forest trees for timber production are largely missing from the literature. This is perhaps because domestication of forest trees is still in its infancy compared to cultivated crops (Neale & Kremer, 2011). Trees, and particularly monoecious conifers, provide a good model to study reproductive strategies and size-dependent sex allocation (Burd & Allen, 1988). Therefore, the study of forest tree domestication from an evolutionary perspective can not only contribute to our understanding of plant reproductive ecology, but also shed light on the genetic basis for adaptation of these ecologically important species. Indeed, as key pieces determining structure and function of extensive terrestrial ecosystems (Petit & Hampe, 2006; Whitham *et al.*, 2006), even slight changes in the adaptive ability of individual trees can have broad consequences, but how and how much is currently unknown.

Common target traits in forest tree breeding are growth rate, timber yield, stem form and physical and chemical wood properties (Lepoittevin *et al.*, 2011), all of them related to vegetative investment. Unintended correlated responses to selection are rarely reported in the forestry literature making it difficult to define a "domestication syndrome" for forest trees (Cornelius, 1994). Size at maturity and reproductive allocation are key fitness traits defining contrasting life histories in all kind of iteroparous long-lived organisms with indeterminate growth such as forest trees and exploited fishes (Roff, 1992). As those traits imply shifting resource allocation between vegetative growth and reproduction, genetic breeding for allocation to growth is predicted to impact them.

Specifically, based on allocation theory, a shift in reproductive effort in the breeding population would be generally expected if the selection process is focused solely on growth traits (Roff, 2000). Here we provide support for this hypothesis and evidence of this kind of correlated genetic effects during early domestication of a forest tree. We performed a long term artificial selection experiment of *Pinus pinaster* (Maritime pine), a monoecious conifer widespread in Southwestern Europe in its early stages of domestication. Growth and reproductive traits of the progenies from phenotypically selected individuals were compared

with those from control trees, not subjected to selection, growing together in a common garden in North Spain. More specifically, we tested the hypothesis that progenies from selected trees would reproduce at larger sizes and/or produce fewer cones at a given size. Besides, provided the greater cost in terms of growth for female reproduction, we hypothesized that female function of progenies selected for high stem growth rates should show a greater change, as compared to male function.

Materials and Methods

Studied species and selection experiment

Pinus pinaster is a Western Mediterranean monoecious conifer, with a disjoint distribution in South-Western France, Iberian Peninsula (Portugal and Spain), Italy and North Africa (Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia). Significant within population additive genetic variation has been reported for growth, wood properties and stem form (Lepoittevin *et al.*, 2011), resistance to herbivory (Zas *et al.*, 2005; Moreira *et al.*, 2012), and also for reproductive life-history traits (Santos-del-Blanco *et al.*, 2010).

A selection experiment was carried out in the 'Montaña de Soria-Burgos' provenance area, Central-North Spain, during the 1990's. Thirty one plus trees were selected in natural stands according to their superior phenotypes for growth and stem form, i.e. timber production. Altogether, less than 1 out of 10,000 trees were selected as plus trees. Then, in 2001, their progeny was planted in a common garden together with the progeny from a control lot randomly selected within the same population. The common garden was placed in the vicinity of the surveyed stands (Latitude 41º 55' 15" N; Longitude 3º 11' 35" W; 1,153 m a.s.l.; Fig. 1). The design comprised 28 complete blocks and single-tree plots. A detailed description of the selection protocol and common garden design can be found in the Supplementary Material (ST1).

A total of 1,087 trees were included in this study, 833 belonging to progenies of selected trees (selected group) and 254 to progenies of unselected trees (control group). Final sample size was 868 trees due to mortality (2 %) and biotic damage (18 %). We confirmed both the absence of any bias due to these sample reduction and any additional effect of differential competence not accounted for in further analyses.

Field measurements and variable description

Two measurements were carried out in late May in 2009 and 2011, when the trees were eight and ten years old, respectively. In both dates, we measured tree height below the elongating bud (this is, previous winter height) and diameter at breast height (for those trees > 1.3 m)

and female cones were counted for the following cohorts: female strobili (red-coloured and placed at the end of the current year flush), one-year old immature conelets and two-year old and above mature cones (SFig. 2). Male (pollen) cone abundance was categorically scored from 0 (absent) to 3 (very abundant). Stem form and branching habit was assessed through an ordinal scoring from 1 to 6 in 2009 (Raymond & Cotterill, 1990). A higher score implied high apical dominance and straight stem with few branches, inserted at high angles (closer to horizontal position) (Galera *et al.*, 1997). Total biomass was estimated from diameter at breast height using standard formulae in Montero *et al.* (2005).

Considering its reproductive status, each tree was classified as either reproductive or non-reproductive. According to their first reproductive event, trees were assigned to female (F, those that started their reproductive life as females, i.e. ontogenetically protogynous), male (M, those that started their reproductive life as males, i.e. ontogenetically protandrous) or cosexual (C, those that started their reproductive life with both female and male strobili) groups. Non-reproductive trees were further classified as juveniles (J, size below the family or group-specific smallest reproductive individual) or vegetative (V, size above the family or group-specific smallest reproductive individual).

Statistical analyses

We used Bayesian approaches for fitting quantitative genetic models (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2010). We computed posterior mode and 95% credible intervals (95% CIs) for fixed effects, variance components, threshold sizes for reproduction, heritabilities and phenotypic correlations across a variety of models. A detailed description of priors used can be accessed in the Supplementary Material (ST2). Analyses were run in R, version 2.15.2 (R Development Core Team, Vienna), using the package MCMCglmm, version 2.01 (Hadfield, 2010).

Tree height at ten years was modelled as a normal distributed trait with Gaussian errors including block as a random factor. Stem form was modelled as an ordinal trait with a generalised model with probit link and residual variance (V_R) fixed to one by convention (e.g. Reid *et al.*, 2011). Stem form model included block as a random factor. Fixing V_R to a particular value affects proportionally all variance components in a model, and thus heritability estimates are independent of the V_R value.

Median threshold size for first reproduction (TSFR) was calculated as the result of dividing intercept by slope estimates from a generalised binomial model with logit link, where categorical reproduction (present or absent) at eight and ten years was the response variable. As slope estimates are always negative because the probability of reproduction

increases with size, they were included as absolute values in order to generate positive TSFR estimates (Wesselingh & Klinkhamer, 1996; Mendez & Karlsson, 2004). Height was included as a covariate (Wesselingh & de Jong, 1995). V_R was fixed to one in binomial models by convention. Cumulative quantitative female reproduction, i.e. number of cones produced throughout a tree's life, was modelled as a Poisson generalised model with log link, using log biomass at ten years as a continuous predictor (Female R-V). This was equivalent to standard log-log allometric Reproductive vs. Vegetative size (R-V) regressions but benefited from the inclusion of zeroes in the response variable (non-reproductive individuals), otherwise commonly discarded or transformed (Kotze & O'Hara, 2010). Only data from non-juvenile trees were used in R-V models in order to avoid zero inflation (Mendez & Karlsson, 2004). Male reproduction at ten years, an ordinal trait, was modelled analogously to stem form, but including tree log biomass as a covariate (Male R-V). Inclusion of size (height or biomass) as a covariate in TSFR and R-V models accounts for small scale environmental variation in common gardens (Santos-del-Blanco *et al.*, 2012) and so, block effects were not considered.

Direct and indirect effects of early domestication

We first tested for differences between progenies from the selected (hereafter "selected group") and non-selected (hereafter "control group") mother trees. We analysed height, stem form, threshold for first reproduction (TSFR), and reproductive-vegetative (R-V) size relationships for female and male reproduction by fitting independent univariate models and using fixed effects (selected – control) 95% credible intervals (95% CIs) to evaluate the significance of their difference. Model specification can be found in the Supplementary Material (ST3).Average sizes per reproductive group (juvenile, vegetative, female, male or cosexual) were also calculated. For TSFR and R-V models we evaluated the significance of separate additive (intercept) and multiplicative (slope) selection effects.

Genetic control and correlation among traits

To evaluate the quantitative genetic basis of variation in traits affected by early domestication, we fitted 'animal models' to data from the selected group to estimate additive genetic variance. A detailed description of model specification and estimation of quantitative genetic parameters can be accessed in the Supplementary Material (ST3).

As 95% CIs for variance components cannot overlap 0, it is not possible to test the null hypothesis of zero variance. Instead, significance of variance components was assessed by means of Deviance Information Criteria (DIC), comparing DIC values of nested models (Spiegelhalter *et al.*, 2002). However, this was done only for Linear Mixed Models (height)

as interpretations of DIC in Generalised Linear Mixed Models with latent variables may not be clear. In these cases (binomial, Poisson and ordinal models), parameter posterior distribution was used to illustrate the magnitude of variance components see (Reid *et al.*, 2011).

Genetic correlations were estimated as the Pearson's correlation between traits for family corrected means derived from mixed models where family was coded as random (Lamy *et al.*, 2012). Phenotypic correlations were estimated by fitting bivariate models to data from the selected and control groups.

Results

Direct and indirect effects of domestication Progenies of trees selected for timber yield (hereafter 'selected group') were significantly higher at age 10 than those sampled at random from the wild base population (hereafter 'control group'; average height difference between selected and control trees: 11.7 cm) (Table 1). As expected, phenotypic selection for timber yield lead also to better stem form scores (more straight stems) in the selected than in the control group ($P < 0.001$; Table 1). Trees from the selected group were consistently taller than those in the control group for juvenile, vegetative, female and cosexual groups (all $P < 0.05$; Fig. 2). However, height of early-male trees did not significantly differ between groups and showed large variability within groups (Fig. 2, Table S1).

The most prominent differences between the selected and control group were observed in reproductive traits, leading to delayed reproduction both in age and size terms in the former (Table 1). The proportion of reproductive trees was higher in the control group (66%) than in the selected one (51%) (X^2_1 14.3 $P < 0.001$). In both groups, trees started their reproductive phase most commonly as females, followed by cosexuals and then males (Table S1). Among reproductive individuals, the selected group had a lower proportion of cosexuals (36%) (X^2_1 13.2 $P < 0.001$) and higher proportion of males (24%) (X^2_1 14.3 $P < 0.007$) compared to the control group (54% and 13% respectively) (Table S1). Differences in reproductive traits between both selected and control groups were highly significant ($p<0.001$, Table 1). The selected group had significantly greater female and male threshold size for first reproduction (TSFR), and showed a consistently lower allocation to reproduction at a given size (reproductive – vegetative allocation, female and male R-V; Table 1). The maximum change in TSFR was recorded for the female function, which increased in 106.9 cm in height in the selected population (Fig. 3). In the control group, female TSFR was significantly lower than male TSFR (Fig. 3, Table S1), but both parameters did not differ in

the selected group as selection had a greater effect on female TSFR (Fig. 3, Table S1). The control group showed the smallest reproductive individual and significantly lower male TSFR than any of the 31 selected progenies. Regarding the female TSFR, all but two selected families showed point estimates above the control, but wider credible intervals made those differences non-significant for about half of the selected families (Fig. S1).

Quantitative genetic parameters of growth and reproductive traits

Among the selected progenies, additive genetic variance for height was very low compared to total variance, with a heritability of 0.06 (CI 0.02-0.17) (Table 2). Heritability for stem form was 0.13, significantly different from zero. Narrow sense heritabilities were sharply different between female and male reproduction. While those of female TSFR and relative reproductive investment (R-V) were very high (> 0.53), those for male function were indistinguishable from zero (Table 3).

In the selected group we found significant negative genetic correlations between female TSFR and female relative reproductive investment (r = - 0.82; Table S2a) indicating that precocious trees were also more prolific at this stage. Male and female thresholds for first reproduction were positively correlated (r = 0.39) but not the relative investment in male and female functions (Table S2a). Within the 31 selected families, we only found evidence of genetic trade-offs between height and reproduction for male function, but not for female function (Table S2a).

Discussion

In our study, we found that phenotypic selection pursuing an ideotype of tall, thick straight trees with short horizontal branches and high apical dominance caused a sharp ontogenetic delay, even when reproductive investment was disregarded as a selection criterion.

There was a positive phenotypic correlation between growth and absolute female and male reproduction (Table S2). However, due to "unconscious selection" (Darwin, 1859) of traits genetically correlated with increased stem allocation, female and male threshold sizes for reproduction were both increased and male and female reproductive allocation decreased in the selected group. The phenotypic change due to this single selection event was as high as half of the species range of the threshold size for female reproduction and similar to the species range of the threshold size for male reproduction (1.0 m female, 0.8 m male) (Santos-del-Blanco *et al.*, 2012) (Fig. S1). This shift revealed a likely underlying negative genetic

correlation between allocation to growth and reproduction in the base population (Schluter, 1996). Differences in ontogenetic development were also reflected in a higher proportion of reproductive individuals in the control group compared to the selected group. Assuming current growth rates, this implied a delay of between two and three years in the reproductive ontogeny of the selected group, compared to the control group. Thus indirect selection effects on male and female reproductive traits caused delayed reproduction both in age and size terms. Altogether, direct and indirect effects of selection contributed to define a "domestication syndrome" for timber production in conifer trees.

In general, female reproduction in plants has been usually found to be more costly than male reproduction (Obeso, 2002). In agreement with this idea, we found smaller average size for ontogenetically protogynous than protandrous trees in maritime pine, indicative of higher female reproductive costs (Fig 2). Despite the fact that we only detected negative genetic correlations between male reproduction and growth, our results indicate that strong negative genetic association between growth and early male and female reproductive effort existed in the native original population subjected to selection. At the genetic level, greater costs of female reproduction could be thought of as stronger negative correlations for growth (Reznick, 1985), thus, a more intense indirect change of the threshold size for female reproduction, compared to the male threshold, fitted our expectations.

Other studies have reported on a related issue, namely the genetic consequences of selective harvesting in natural populations. The most paradigmatic examples come from fisheries (Koskinen *et al.*, 2002), but a few examples also focus on plant species, mostly tree species. Here, as it happens with fish species, non-harvested individuals tend to show lower growth rates as in *Picea rubens* (Sokol, 2004) or *Panax quinquefolius* (Mooney & McGraw, 2009), but no reproductive data was reported. On the other hand, poor growth of *Eucalyptus* landraces in India has also been linked to selection for increased seed production (Varghese *et al.*, 2009), where local people collect seeds for trading and thus likely select on increased fertility. Overall, this limited evidence together with our results are consistent with a investment in growth vs. investment in reproduction trade-off, reflected both ways i.e. selecting for increased growth indirectly selects for reduced fecundity, and selecting for increased fecundity indirectly selects for reduced growth.

In nature, reproductive traits and fitness are closely related (Stearns, 1992). Even when an accurate measure of fitness in forest trees is challenging, reproductive traits have shown signs of local adaptation in *P. pinaster* (Santos-del-Blanco *et al.*, 2012). It is doubtful whether in nature, control individuals from our experiment could have greater or equal fitness

than selected progenies but clearly a single severe perturbation (like a wildfire) would be more detrimental for the recruitment of domesticated, selected genotypes due to their immaturity (Keeley *et al.*, 1999). If our results also hold true for other forest tree species, this reinforces the need for conservation of standing genetic diversity. This would ensure the evolutionary potential facing intensive breeding of native forest species (Koskela et al 2007), particularly considering the challenges and uncertainties from climate change.

Lastly, in addition to being a valuable tool in reproductive ecology, selection experiments like this can also be a powerful tool to unveil the genetic basis of adaptation to both natural and human environments (Ross-Ibarra *et al.*, 2007), highlighting phenotypic, quantitative genetic and genomic differences between domesticated and wild populations (Harfouche *et al.*, 2012). Our study shows that changes affecting developmental pathways hold great interest for a better understanding of domestication.

Data accessibility

Data are accessible through the following URL: http://hdl.handle.net/10532/2800 and http://www.genfored.es/app/metadata/data_details.php?id=32

LITERATURE CITED

Burd, M. & Allen, T.F.H. 1988. Sexual allocation strategy in wind-pollinated plants. *Evolution.* **42**: 403–407.

Cornelius, J.P. 1994. The effectiveness of plus-tree selection for yield. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **1127**: 23–34.

Darwin, C. 1859. *On the origin of species, or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life*. Murray, J., London, UK.

Darwin, C. 1868. *The variation of animals and plants under domestication*. Murray, J., London, UK.

Galera, R., Martin, S., Alía, R., Gordo, J., Aguado, A. & Notivol, E. 1997. *Manual de selección de masas productoras de semillas. Evaluación de caracteres.* Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Madrid, Spain.

Hadfield, J.D. 2010. MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models: the MCMCglmm R package. *J. Stat. Softw.* **33**: 1–22.

Harfouche, A., Meilan, R., Kirst, M., Morgante, M., Boerjan, W., Sabatti, M., *et al.* 2012. Accelerating the domestication of forest trees in a changing world. *Trends Plant Sci.* **17**: 64–72.

Harlan, J. 1971. Agricultural origins: centers and noncenters. *Science.* **174**: 468–474.

Hutchings, J.A. & Fraser, D.J. 2008. The nature of fisheries- and farming-induced evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**: 294–313.

Keeley, J.E., Ne'eman, G. & Fotheringham, C. 1999. Immaturity risk in a fire-dependent pine. *J. Mediterr. Ecol.* **1**: 41–48.

Koskinen, M.T., Haugen, T.O. & Primmer, C.R. 2002. Contemporary fisherian life-history evolution in small salmonid populations. *Nature* **419**: 826–830.

Kotze, D.J.J. & O'Hara, R.B. 2010. Do not log-transform count data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **1**: 118–122.

Lamy, J.-B., Lagane, F., Plomion, C., Cochard, H. & Delzon, S. 2012. Micro-evolutionary patterns of juvenile wood density in a pine species. *Plant Ecol.* **213**: 1781–1792.

Lepoittevin, C., Rousseau, J.-P., Guillemin, A., Gauvrit, C., Besson, F., Hubert, F., *et al.* 2011. Genetic parameters of growth, straightness and wood chemistry traits in *Pinus pinaster*. *Ann. For. Sci.* **68**: 873–884.

Mendez, M. & Karlsson, P.S. 2004. Between-population variation in size-dependent reproduction and reproductive allocation in *Pinguicula vulgaris* (*Lentibulariaceae*) and its environmental correlates. *Oikos* **104**: 59–70.

Meyer, R.S., du Val, A.E. & Jensen, H.R. 2012. Patterns and processes in crop domestication: an historical review and quantitative analysis of 203 global food crops. *New Phytol.* **196**: 29–48.

Montero, G., Ruiz-Peinado, R. & Muñoz, M. 2005. *Producción de biomasa y fijación de CO2 por los bosques españoles*. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Madrid, Spain.

Mooney, E.H. & McGraw, J.B. 2009. Relationship between age, size, and reproduction in populations of American ginseng, *Panax quinquefolius* (*Araliaceae*), across a range of harvest pressures. *Ecoscience* **16**: 84–94.

Moreira, X., Zas, R. & Sampedro, L. 2012. Genetic variation and phenotypic plasticity of nutrient re-allocation and increased fine root production as putative tolerance mechanisms inducible by methyl jasmonate in pine trees. *J. Ecol.* **100**: 810–820.

Nakagawa, S. & Schielzeth, H. 2010. Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data: a practical guide for biologists. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **85**: 935–956.

Neale, D.B. & Kremer, A. 2011. Forest tree genomics: growing resources and applications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **12**: 111–122.

Obeso, J.R. 2002. The costs of reproduction in plants. *New Phytol.* **155**: 321–348.

Olsen, E.M., Heino, M., Lilly, G.R., Morgan, M.J., Brattey, J., Ernande, B., *et al.* 2004. Maturation trends indicative of rapid evolution preceded the collapse of northern cod. *Nature* **428**: 932–935.

Petit, R.J. & Hampe, A. 2006. Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **37**: 187–214.

Raymond, C.A. & Cotterill, P.P. 1990. Methods of assessing crown form of *Pinus radiata*. *Silvae Genet.* **39**: 67–71.

Reid, J.M., Arcese, P., Sardell, R.J. & Keller, L.F. 2011. Additive genetic variance, heritability, and inbreeding depression in male extra-pair reproductive success. *Am. Nat.* **177**: 177–187.

Reznick, D. 1985. Costs of reproduction: an evaluation of the empirical evidence. *Oikos* **44**: 257–267.

Roff, D.A. 1992. *The evolution of life histories: theory and analysis*. Chapman and Hall, New York, USA.

Roff, D.A. 2000. Trade-offs between growth and reproduction: an analysis of the quantitative genetic evidence. *J. Evol. Biol.* **13**: 434–445.

Ross-Ibarra, J., Morrell, P.L. & Gaut, B.S. 2007. Plant domestication, a unique opportunity to identify the genetic basis of adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**: 8641–8648.

Santos-del-Blanco, L., Climent, J., González-Martínez, S.C. & Pannell, J.R. 2012. Genetic differentiation for size at first reproduction through male versus female functions in the widespread Mediterranean tree *Pinus pinaster*. *Ann. Bot.* **110**: 1449–1460.

Santos-del-Blanco, L., Notivol, E., Zas, R., Chambel, M.R., Majada, J. & Climent, J. 2010. Variation of early reproductive allocation in multi-site genetic trials of Maritime pine and Aleppo pine. *For. Syst.* **19**: 381–392.

Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution.* **50**: 1766–1774.

Sokol, K. 2004. Impacts of long-term diameter-limit harvesting on residual stands of red spruce in Maine. *North. J. Appl. For.* **21**: 69–73.

Spiegelhalter, D.J., Best, N.G., Carlin, B.P. & van der Linde, A. 2002. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* **64**: 583–639.

Stearns, S.C. 1992. *The evolution of life histories*. Oxford University Press.

Turcotte, M.M., Turley, N.E. & Johnson, M.T.J. 2014. The impact of domestication on resistance to two generalist herbivores across 29 independent domestication events. *New Phytol.* **204**: 671–81.

Varghese, M., Kamalakannan, R., Harwood, C.E., Lindgren, D. & McDonald, M.W. 2009. Changes in growth performance and fecundity of Eucalyptus camaldulensis and E. tereticornis during domestication in southern India. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **5**: 629–640.

Wesselingh, R.A. & de Jong, T.J. 1995. Bidirectional selection on threshold size for flowering in *Cynoglossum officinale* (hound's-tongue). *Heredity.* **74**: 415–424.

Wesselingh, R.A. & Klinkhamer, P.G.L. 1996. Threshold size for vernalization in *Senecio jacobaea*: genetic variation and response to artificial selection. *Funct. Ecol.* **10**: 281–288.

Whitham, T.G., Bailey, J.K., Schweitzer, J.A., Shuster, S.M., Bangert, R.K., LeRoy, C.J., *et al.* 2006. A framework for community and ecosystem genetics: from genes to ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **7**: 510–523.

Zas, R., Sampedro, L., Prada, E. & Fernández-López, J. 2005. Genetic variation of *Pinus pinaster* Ait. seedlings in susceptibility to the pine weevil *Hylobius abietis* L. *Ann. For. Sci.* **62**: 681–688.

Zohary, D. 2004. Unconscious selection and the evolution of domesticated plants. *Econ. Bot.* **58**: 5–10.

TABLES

TABLE 1. Effects of a single artificial selection event aimed at improving growth and timber yield in a *maritime pine* wild population. Selected and unselected groups were grown in a common garden close to the original population in Central-North Spain. Effect size and 95% credible interval, CI, are shown. For models where a covariate was used (height or biomass), we indicate both additive (add., intercept) and multiplicative (mult., slope) effects of selection. Results are reported on the corresponding latent linear scale.

trait		effect size	95% CI		p-value
Height		11.7	1.9	27.7	**0.024**
Stem form		0.375	0.218	0.618	**<0.001**
Female TSFR	add.	-1.242	-1.452	-0.893	**< 0.001**
	mult.	-0.003	-0.006	0.001	0.198
Female R-V	add.	-1.627	-1.918	-1.197	**<0.001**
	mult.	0.123	-0.128	0.301	0.45
Male TSFR	add.	-1.616	-1.957	-1.272	**< 0.001**
	mult.	-0.002	-0.007	0.003	0.422
Male R-V	add.	-0.886	-1.278	-0.605	**<0.001**
	mult.	-0.073	-0.274	0.176	0.764

TSFR, Threshold size for first reproduction; R-V, relative Reproductive – Vegetative effort obtained from a Poisson (female) or ordinal (male) model with number of cones (female) or qualitative pollen production (males) as the response variable and log(biomass) as a covariate

TABLE 2. Posterior modes (h^2) and credible intervals (95% CI) of narrow sense heritabilities and variance components (V_A additive genetic variance, V_I additive overdisperssion variance, V_R residual variance, V_L latent scale variance variance) for growth and reproductive traits recorded on a population of Maritime pine. Values for stem form, TSFR and RV are reported on the corresponding latent linear scale.

trait	h^2	95% CI		V_A	95% CI		V_I	95% CI		V_R	95% CI		V_L
Height	0.06	0.02	0.17	372	121	1188				6376	5656	7513	
Stem form	0.13	0.03	0.36	4.7	0.8	13.3	22.2	11.4	36.9	1			1
Female TSFR	0.53	0.35	0.91	23.0	9.6	38.7	11.0	0.0	22.3	1			π2/3
Female R-V	0.73	0.42	0.81	2.1	1.0	2.9				0.2	0.0	0.9	
Male TSFR	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.02	0.00	4.38	10.24	4.43	18.2	1			π2/3
Male R-V	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.01	0.00	1.52				1			1

TSFR, Threshold size (height) for first reproduction; R-V, relative Reproductive – Vegetative effort obtained from a Poisson (female) or ordinal (male) model with number of cones (female) or qualitative pollen production (males) as the response variable and vegetative size as a covariate.

FIGURE 1. Location of the *Pinus pinaster* region of provenance Montaña de Soria-Burgos (light green), and the forest stand (dark green) where artificial selection for growth and timber yield was carried out. Boxes 1-3 describe the breeding program from phenotypic selection to common garden establishment. Star denotes the location of the common garden.

FIGURE 2. Effect of a single event of artificial selection for growth and timber yield on the height of the progeny from selected *Pinus pinaster* trees compared to an unselected control group. Bars represent average height at age 10 years for selected progenies (dark bars: N = 656) and the control, unselected group, representing the base population mean (white bars; N = 195). Results are presented for protogynous, protandrous and cosexual groups, as well as for vegetative - juvenile groups. Error bars represent 95% credible intervals. 'Vegetative' refers to those non-reproductive trees taller than the smallest reproductive tree for a given group.

FIGURE 3. Comparison of height density distribution and threshold size (height) for first reproduction between *Pinus pinaster* progenies of trees either selected (S) or not selected (C, control) for timber production after one generation. Bell-shaped lines represent height probability distribution at age 10 yrs. Control group, orange lines; selected group, blue lines. Vertical lines represent threshold sizes for reproduction. Solid line, female function; dashed line, male function. 95% credible intervals for threshold sizes are represented by horizontal lines. Arrows show changes in threshold size for reproduction in male and female function due to selection. Control group, left; selected group, right.